



Correspondence Mail
BOX AF

AF/3700

**Response under 37 C.F.R. 1.116
- Expedited Examining Procedure -
Examining Group 3722**

**BOX AF
80521F-P**

Customer No. 01333

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

David L. Patton

**A METHOD FOR PRINTING AND
VERIFYING LIMITED EDITION
STAMPS**

Serial No. US 09/534,433

Filed 23 March 2000

Group Art Unit: 3722

Examiner: M. Henderson

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
today with the United States Postal Service as first class
mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for
Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Deborah J. Walczak
Deborah J. Walczak

January 21, 2003
Date

Reg for Record

#15

1/30/03

B loss

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED

JAN 29 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116

In response to the Official Action dated November 25, 2002,

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

The Examiner in the Official Action has again rejected claims 12, 14, 18-23, 25, and 29-34 under 135 USC § 103(a) for the reasons set forth therein. Basically, the Examiner has reiterated the Examiner's previous rejection. The Examiner has responded to applicant's previous response with the statements set forth in paragraph 3. Applicant would like to respond directly to the Examiner's response.

First, applicant would like to note that the Gasper et al. patent does not teach a stamp as taught and claimed by applicant. The Examiner states that Gasper et al. does indeed teach a stamp in it's "broadest sense". The Examiner goes on to cite the Random House Dictionary for stamp. In this regard, applicant